This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/750,287	12/29/2000	Jeffery R. Eck	088305-0116	6508	
7	590 05/19/		EXAM	EXAMINER	
William T. El		SINGH, RACHNA			
FOLEY & LA: Washington Ha		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
U	N.W., Suite 500	2176			
Washington, I	OC 20007-5109	DATE MAIL ED. 05/10/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	1 No.	Applicant(s)	-07			
Office Action Summary		09/750,287		ECK, JEFFERY R.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Rachna Sir	nah	2176	•			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	1			ss			
Period fo								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perector reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even n. a reply within the statut eriod will apply and will statute, cause the applic	or, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cattern to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu	unication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	29 December 20	00 .					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		,					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th	· ·		=	* *			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business of the attached detailed Office action for a second content.	nents have been nents have been priority documer ureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicatints have been received 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge			
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI r No(s)/Mail Date	B/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		2)			

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Application filed 11/29/00.
- 2. Claims 1-25 are pending. Claims 1, 24, and 25 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 19-20 recite the limitation "the XML message". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 4-6, 10-15, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fong et al., US 6,678,867 B2, 1/13/04 (continuation of application filed on 12/23/97).

In reference to claims 1, 24, and 25, Fong teaches a method for providing a graphical user interface for creating and editing a mapping of a first structural description to a second structural description. Fong's system comprises the following:

Art Unit: 2176

-Inputting a structural description of a first system that communicates over a protocol having a first structured format, wherein the first structured format can be in XML.

Inputting a structural description of a second system that communicates over a protocol having a second structured format, wherein the second structured format can be in XML. See abstract and columns 31-32. Compare to "receiving an XML environment".

-Accepting interactive user input, to be processed by a map creator, for making plural changes to any of the component mapping values. The transformation rules are processed by a map creator to create the transformation map. The user selects an input source file for transformation to a target output file using a map specified by the user. See column 3, lines 54-67 and column 4, lines 1-10. The transformation can be from SGML to HTML or another structured format such as a database information format. See column 3, lines 20-31. Compare to "creating a target model and a source model in accordance with predetermined rules, with one of said models being an XML model and the other of said models being a flat file or data base model; creating business rules for moving data from a source file to a target filed for a plurality of defining items in the source model".

-Processing the transformation using a map created by the user. See column 4, lines 1-

11. Compare to "creating a run file with file names for generating said map".

In reference to claim 4, Fong teaches an attribute list for HTML and SGML (can also be XML) tags. See figures 8A-1 through 8B.

Art Unit: 2176

In reference to claim 5, Fong teaches accepting interactive user input, to be processed by a map creator, for making plural changes to any of the component mapping values. The transformation rules are processed by a map creator to create the transformation map. The user selects an input source file for transformation to a target output file using a map specified by the user. See column 3, lines 54-67 and column 4, lines 1-10. The transformation can be from SGML to HTML or another structured format such as a database information format. See column 3, lines 20-31.

In reference to claim 6, Fong teaches displaying the source and target model conversions. See figure 12B and 12C.

In reference to claim 10, Fong teaches that the processing the transformation using the map includes source and target models and files and the access files. See columns 3-4.

In reference to claim 11, Fong teaches inputting a structural description of a first system that communicates over a protocol having a first structured format, wherein the first structured format can be in XML. Inputting a structural description of a second system that communicates over a protocol having a second structured format, wherein the second structured format can be in XML. See abstract and columns 31-32.

In reference to claim 12, Fong teaches that the user can choose a source input and a target output and process the transformation. See abstract.

In reference to claim 13, Fong teaches accepting interactive user input, to be processed by a map creator, for making plural changes to any of the component mapping values. The transformation rules are processed by a map creator to create the

Art Unit: 2176

transformation map. The user selects an input source file for transformation to a target output file using a map specified by the user. See column 3, lines 54-67 and column 4, lines 1-10. The transformation can be from SGML to HTML or another structured format such as a database information format. See column 3, lines 20-31.

In reference to claim 14, Fong teaches inputting a structural description of a first system that communicates over a protocol having a first structured format, wherein the first structured format can be in XML. Inputting a structural description of a second system that communicates over a protocol having a second structured format, wherein the second structured format can be in XML. See abstract and columns 31-32.

In reference to claim 12, Fong teaches that the user can choose a source input and a target output and process the transformation. See abstract.

In reference to claim 15, see figure 12C in which Fong teaches defining the item names in the source and target model.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-3, 7-9, and 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fong et al., US 6,678,867 B2, 1/13/04 (continuation of application filed on 12/23/97) in view of Kutay et al., US 2002/0026461 A1, 2/28/02 (provisional filed 6/5/00).

Art Unit: 2176

In reference to claims 2 and 3, Fong does not teach creating test data; however, Kutay teaches testing to verify access to the data reference structure. See figure 9B and page 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Fong and Kutay since both Fong and Kutay teach modifying a source model to a target model in accordance with rules. Furthermore, allowing a user to test the "defining items" or data reference structures would provide better consistency for all of the attributes.

In reference to claims 16-17, Kutay teaches that the source model can be any of a flat file, an XML file, or any other format. See page 7, paragraphs [0104]-[0119]. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Fong and Kutay since both Fong and Kutay teach modifying a source model to a target model in accordance with rules. Furthermore, allowing a user to test the "defining items" or data reference structures would provide better consistency for all of the attributes.

In reference to claim 18, Kutay teaches that the test data is based on the source model which can be an XML file. See page 7, paragraphs [0104]-[0119]. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Fong and Kutay since both Fong and Kutay teach modifying a source model to a target model in accordance with rules. Furthermore, allowing a user to test the "defining items" or data reference structures would provide better consistency for all of the attributes.

Art Unit: 2176

In reference to claim 19, Fong does not teach that the XML message includes a preamble or prolog; however it was well known in the art at the time of the invention for an XML message to contain information about the DTD, schema, or character set being used in the message thus one of ordinary skill in the art could have included such information in the message.

In reference to claims 20-23, Kutay teaches that the test data is based on the source model which can be an XML file. See page 7, paragraphs [0104]-[0119]. The data reference structure and the connection to the data source are verified thus the attributes are checked according to the source document that could be an XML type. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Fong and Kutay since both Fong and Kutay teach modifying a source model to a target model in accordance with rules. Furthermore, allowing a user to test the "defining items" or data reference structures would provide better consistency for all of the attributes.

In reference to claims 7-9, Fong does not teach a drag and drop mechanism in which an element can be moved; however, Kutay does. Kutay teaches dragging and dropping tags displayed in windows within an interface to map the input from the view to process data model structure. See figure 11E, 12, 16C, 16F and page 9. It would have been obvious to combine the drag and drop feature of Kutay in a system of Fong since both Fong and Kutay map source elements to target elements in order to produce an output. Providing a drag and drop functionality provides for an easy method for a user to carry out operations in a graphical user environment.

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Alam et al.

US 6,336,124 B1

"Conversion Data Representing A Document to Other Formats For Manipulation and Display"

Cheng-Hung et al.

US 6,397,232

"Method and System for Translating the Format of the Content of a Document File"

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachna Singh whose telephone number is 703.305.1952. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 703.305.9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RS 5/11/04

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER